

THE INSTITUTE FOR CLINICAL SOCIAL WORK

FUNCTIONS OF COUNTERTRANSFERENCE IN PSYCHOTHERAPY
OF BORDERLINE DISORDER: THE CLINICIAN'S EXPERIENCE

A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED TO THE FACULTY OF THE
INSTITUTE OF CLINICAL SOCIAL WORK IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT
FOR THE DEGREE OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

BY

PAULA LORIG AMMERMAN

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

MAY 1996

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	ii
Chapter	
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	5
Countertransference and the Borderline Patient	
Countertransference: Early Theorist and the Borderline Patient	
Countertransference: Projective Identification and Empathy	
Countertransference and Narcissism	
Countertransference and the Holding Environment	
Countertransference: Narration and Self-Cohesion	
III. METHODOLOGY	44
Research Strategy	
Sample Selection	
Data Collection Method and Instrumentation	
Analysis of the Data	
Limitation and Generalizability	
IV. RESULTS: STRUGGLE TO SURVIVE	55
Out of Control	
Suicide	
Helplessness	
Invasion	
Responsibility	
Summary	

TABLE OF CONTENTS---Continued

Chapter	Page
V. RESULTS: STRUGGLE TO CONNECT	70
The Special Connection	
The Tenuous Connection	
Summary	
VI. NOT ALONE	80
Consultation	
The Therapist's Therapy	
Other People	
Being a Subject	
Summary	
VII. RESULTS: FACILITATING HOPE	89
Faith in the Patient	
Faith in the Relationship	
Faith in Myself	
Summary	
VIII. DISCUSSION	99
Introduction	
Central Themes	
Thematic Narratives	
Winnicott's Developmental Theory and	
Implications for Present Study	
IX. IMPLICATIONS FOR THEORY, RESEARCH, AND	
PRACTICE	141
Objective and Subjective Functions of	
Theory	
Hope in Therapy	
Secondary Traumatization of the Therapist	
The Therapeutic System	
Narrative Process	
Social Work	
Conclusion	
APPENDIX	151
REFERENCES	153

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Treating the borderline patient is an arduous and formidable endeavor (Plakun, 1991; Waldinger & Gunderson, 1987). It is intense and unsettling (Searles, 1986). It challenges the therapist to submit to extraordinarily uncomfortable experiences which one would otherwise wish to avoid. These experiences often include dangerous, impulsive, and self-destructive acts on the part of the patient. Such behaviors constellate strong, visceral countertransference reactions in the therapist. Therapists often feel overwhelmed and exhausted by these patients' "suicidal threats, unreasonable demands, and a wide variety of other coercive behaviors to draw the therapist out of a position of psychotherapeutic neutrality and into the roles of caretaker, parent, persecutor, and adversary" (Waldinger & Gunderson, 1987, p. 6). This represents one of the major difficulties in the treatment of the borderline patient.

Although theorists differ greatly in their understanding of the origins and meanings of these dangerous, impulsive, self-destructive behaviors, they

generally agree that these behaviors are used primarily in connection with uncomfortable affective states (Gunderson, 1984; Kernberg, 1975; Masterson, 1976; Shapiro, 1982; Stolorow & Lachman, 1980). Patients are often unable to make a connection between these behaviors and their affective experiences. The borderline patient experiences a "sense of internal disruption that interferes with his capacity for self-regulation" (Palombo, 1983, p. 16). This disruption results in an overwhelming sense of inadequacy in their efforts to negotiate the world. Events, feelings, and actions remain separate. Their world often feels incomprehensible and uncontrollable. This inability to understand and to see the connections in the world leads to a devastating sense of isolation, aloneness and depletion (Palombo, 1983). It is in this sense of isolation and deadness that these "impulsive, self-destructive, acting out behaviors" occur.

Because of the borderline patient's chronic experience of overwhelming chaos and fragmentation, one of the initial tasks of the therapist is to create a holding environment for the patient. In classical psychoanalysis this is facilitated through interpretation but for the borderline patient the holding environment contains a more essential component. The therapist must be a stable, consistent, nonpunitive, caring person who survives the patient's rageful and destructive impulses and continues to

perform the holding and soothing functions that the patient cannot perform for himself (Buie & Adler, 1982; Palombo, 1983; Waldinger & Gunderson, 1987). When these impulsive and destructive behaviors occur, they evoke feelings in the therapist, which the therapist, like the patient, would rather avoid. There is a tremendous pull on the therapist to avoid these uncomfortable feelings by responding with countertransference reactions of retaliation or withdrawal. These feelings, however, must not be avoided. They must be experienced, contained, understood, and processed, thereby sustaining the therapist's self-cohesion. It is the therapist's own cohesive sense of self that makes it possible to hold the fragmented patient.

In this context both patient and therapist are faced with an intensely disturbing but parallel process. They must both stay in and actively address a dangerous and frightening world which neither can fully understand nor control. It is a world that repeatedly threatens and challenges the continuity and integrity of the self for both.

One way to explore these problems is in relationship to the construction and function of a narrative. To make a connection between one's feelings and one's behaviors is essential in order to both organize and preserve a sense of self. This is as true for the therapist as it is for the patient. It represents a level of experiencing the self,

even if only in the moment, as an integrated whole. An affect is an experience of a self which when linked with an action or behavior becomes a story about a self, a narrative. The therapist participates in helping the patient to make sense by making connections between feelings and actions, to experience the self in a meaningful way. This construction of meaning validates and assures the continuity of the self. This function must go on for the patient but it must also proceed for the therapist in order to maintain the therapist's own sense of cohesion so that the therapist can provide the holding function for the patient.

What are the feelings, thoughts, and behaviors that borderline patients evoke in therapists and how do therapists maintain a sense of self-cohesion in spite of the threatening onslaught that the patient's dangerous and threatening behaviors represent? What are the narratives that therapists create about these experiences and what functions do they provide in creating the holding environment for both patients and therapists? These are the problems that have been addressed in this study.