



Visit us online at www.icsw.edu

CASE STUDY EVALUATION FORM

THE INSTITUTE FOR Clinical Social Work

If FAIL, contact the Practicum Chair.

| Review th | is evalu | ation at the | e start of the Case | Studies. In a | ddition do a | mid-consulta | ation discus | ssion |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| about the | evalua | tion ratings | | | | | | |
| Student's | Name: | | | | | | | |
| Consultar | nt's Nar | ne: | | | | | | |
| Date of R | eport: _ | | | | | | | |
| Case Stu | dy Set (| check the b | ox that applies to | o the current | set of cases | being evalua | ated): | |
| First Set | (CS 122 | 2) | Second S | et (CS 231) | | Third Set (CS | 5 242) | |
| Fourth S | et (CS 3 | 351) | Fifth Set | (CS 362) | | Sixth Set (CS | 372)* | |
| Client Info | ormatic | | | | | | | |
| Initials | Age | Gender | Presenting problem(s) OR treatment focus | Treatment Modality | Date case began | Date consultation began | Frequency | # consultation meetings |
| 1) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) | | | | | | | | |
| 3)* *Applies to | students | who matricula | ated prior to 2006 | | | | | <u> </u> |
| | | | ry other week) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Date of m | nid-cons | sultation dis | scussion | | | | | |
| <u>GRADE</u> | | | | | | | | |
| С | a. F | dies are cor PASS FAIL * | nplete – | | | | | |
| Signature | of Stud | dent: | | | | | | |
| Signature | of Con | sultant: | | | | | | |

Please circle the most appropriate score for each item, relative to the student's progression in the practicum. Narrative comments may be added.

NOTE: Ratings of "3" represent a student who is performing as would be expected and is on target. Rating of "4" or above should be reserved for a student excelling in the practicum process, while ratings below "3" indicate a need for concern.

QUALITY OF PSYCHODYNAMIC THINKING

 How does this student use psychodynamic concepts in order to understand clients' motivation for treatment, emotional difficulties, and the beginning assessment and treatment process? (Psychodynamic concepts to include latent and manifest content related to motivation for treatment, evidence of beginning transference and countertransference dynamics and the nature of the presenting problems)

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|--|---|--|---|
| Has no understanding or hypotheses about deeper meanings of the patient's report | Reactive to patient's report and presentation without language to explore it | Beginning to relate psychodynamic concepts to clinical material and presentation | Able to listen for and ask questions about deeper meanings related to the patient's history, report and presentation | Able to articulate identifications, defenses, functions of symptoms, relational capacities and patterns, and pathogenic beliefs |
| Comments/Examples: | : | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 2) | client is coming r | udent consolidate con now in light of emotio acities, and character | nal difficulties, family | • | • |
|----|--|--|--|--|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | ssigns only non- reflective, concrete diagnostic categories (e.g. "Client has depression") | Privileges single elements or explanations of the above domains (e.g. "Client was adopted and so she has disorganized attachment") | Considers the domains above and their complexity | Increasingly curious about the domains above and their relationships to each other | Articulates a deep contextual understanding of the above domains |
| Co | mments/Examples | : : | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 3) | | ident engage patients ency, and scope or fo | | hodynamic process th | nat includes setting a 5 |
| | lo discussion of ame takes place | Frame is too rigid or loose without consideration of patient's needs and context | Able to consider and discuss elements of frame in consultation | Elements of frame are discussed with reference to patient's needs and context | Able to identify and communicate about elements of frame and their meaning with this particular patient in the establishment of the frame |
| Co | mments/Examples | :: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| • | developmental longin therapist and the se | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| No discussion about potential transference meanings; avoidant of transference discussion | Has a superficial understanding of some form of transference | Is open and responsive to discussion of potential transference meanings in consultation | Able to bring ideas about potential transference meanings into consultation | Able to listen for and respond to potential meanings of transference with the patient |
| Comments/Examples | 5: | | | |
| | | | | |
| • | udent identify his/her onverbal and somation | | | - |
| Not able to identify or engage in discussion about subjective responses | Has difficulty naming subjective responses | Can identify subjective responses when asked (e.g. includes them in process notes) | Can relate subjective responses to patient; Able to engage in open and curious consideration of responses | Can formulate subjective responses as artifact of treatment process |
| Comments/Examples | 5: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

4) How does this student identify and understand transference data?(Variations may include

QUALITY AND USE OF CONSULTATION

| cancels, fails, or appointments and relies on and has prepared unprepared or attends without focus and focus generate discussion 7) How does this student make use of the learning alliance with the consultant? 1 2 3 4 5 Student and Responds in a consultant fail to develop language manner to discuss cases consultant's questions and explores the input with no evidence of integration cancels, fails, or appointments appointments, has prepared clinical material, and comes with process an generates thoughtful focused discussion clinical material, and comes with questions and observations discussion description appointments, has prepared clinical material, and comes with questions and explores the input and own observations and explores the integration appointments, has prepared clinical material, and comes with questions and explores the input and own observations and care input with no evidence of integration | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| 7) How does this student make use of the learning alliance with the consultant? 1 2 3 4 5 Student and Responds in a Responds to Openly and consultant fail to superficial consultant's thoughtfully ongoing an develop language manner to questions and generates their deepening to discuss cases consultant's input and own observations integration of questions and explores the and curiosities case formular input with no issues raised evidence of integration | cancels, fails, or comes unprepared or attends without | appointments and relies on consultant to focus and generate | appointments and has prepared | appointments, has prepared clinical material, and comes with questions and | engagement in the consultation process and generates thoughtful, |
| Student and consultant fail to develop language to discuss cases Responds in a superficial consultant's questions and input with no evidence of integration Responds to consultant's thoughtfully ongoing and generates their own observations and curiosities case formulations. Responds to consultant's thoughtfully ongoing and generates their own observations and curiosities case formulations. | Comments/Examples: | | | | |
| Student and consultant fail to develop language to discuss cases Responds in a superficial consultant's questions and input with no evidence of integration Responds to consultant's thoughtfully ongoing and generates their own observations and curiosities case formulations. Responds to consultant's thoughtfully ongoing and generates their own observations and curiosities case formulations. | | | | | |
| Student and consultant fail to develop language to discuss cases Responds in a superficial consultant's questions and input with no evidence of integration Responds to consultant's thoughtfully ongoing and generates their own observations integration of case formulations. Responds to consultant's thoughtfully ongoing and generates their own observations integration of case formulations. | | | | | |
| Student and Responds in a consultant fail to superficial consultant's thoughtfully ongoing and develop language manner to questions and generates their deepening to discuss cases consultant's input and own observations integration of explores the input with no evidence of integration | | | | | |
| Comments/Examples: | | - | - | | 5 |
| | 1 Student and consultant fail to develop language | Responds in a superficial manner to consultant's questions and input with no evidence of | Responds to consultant's questions and input and explores the | 4 Openly and thoughtfully generates their own observations | Engages in ongoing and deepening integration of the case formulation |
| | 1 Student and consultant fail to develop language | Responds in a superficial manner to consultant's questions and input with no evidence of | Responds to consultant's questions and input and explores the | 4 Openly and thoughtfully generates their own observations | Engages in ongoing and deepening integration of the case formulation |

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Unable to be self- reflective | Needs help and explanations to become self-reflective in consultation | Able to be self- reflective in meetings with consultant | Is often self- reflective and open to consideration of parallel processes | Is consistently self-reflective and curious about awareness of parallel processes |
| Comments/Examples | 5: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Studies (clear and | written materials m d coherent writing)? | eet the requirements | - | · |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Conceptually unclear or confused; improper grammar and syntax | Conceptually vague; inconsistently correct grammar and syntax | Conceptually lucid; mostly consistently correct grammar and syntax; needs editing | Concise, coherent and conceptually clear; strong grammar and syntax | Eloquent expression and consistently correct grammar and syntax |
| Comments/Examples | 5: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

8) How does this student demonstrate increasing development and use of self-awareness?

| and conveys a and under disorganized picture of the patient patient Comments/Examples: | beliefs, mood, defensive rengths and current fur 2 3 s a limited Conveyse even picture of the patient is most dor | e patterns, range of nctioning) S a fuller Converte of the picture in which patien mains are most duded son | f affect, sources of 4 veys a full ire of the continuous are of the comains are of the comains are which | • |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| primary identifications, st 1 Oversimplified Convey and conveys a and undisorganized picture picture of the patient patient Comments/Examples: | rengths and current fur 2 3 s a limited Conveys even picture of the patient i most dor | nctioning) S a fuller Converte of the picture in which patien mains are most duded son | 4 veys a full re of the cont in which integoratins are of the contains are which | 5 Conveys a omplex and grated picture he patient in th all domains |
| Oversimplified Convey and conveys a and under disorganized picture picture of the patient patient Comments/Examples: | s a limited Conveys even picture of the patient i most dor | s a fuller Converte of the picture in which patien mains are most duded son | veys a full ire of the continuiting the | Conveys a omplex and grated picture he patient in the land omains the land omains |
| Oversimplified Convey and conveys a and undisorganized picture picture of the patient patient Comments/Examples: | s a limited Conveys even picture of the patient i most dor | s a fuller Conv e of the pictu in which patien mains are most d uded son | veys a full ire of the continuiting the | Conveys a omplex and grated picture he patient in the land omains the land omains |
| and conveys a and under disorganized picture of the patient patient Comments/Examples: | even picture of the patient i most dor | e of the pictu in which patien mains are most d uded son | re of the continuous of the co | omplex and grated picture he patient in th all domains |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| the consultant or consultative | | nguish the voice of | the patient, the th | erapist, and |
| No clarity of Some voice; limited to a what t list of facts; no understanding of source of stude | clarity of Clarity of Clarity of | of what Precise languals, what clarifudent what thinks what to thinks ow the came to thinks | e and vivid Pred lang ty of the classifier what and feels, thin and feels and feels and feels and feels and feels | cise and vivid guage creates arity of the at the patient aks and feels, t the student aks and feels, I reflects the onsultative process |
| Comments/Examples: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS AND OVERALL SCORE

| Note any problems in learning that need to be addressed in future consultations or through other means. |
|---|
| Comments: |
| |
| |
| |
| Overall Score: |
| Score Interpretation: |
| Case Studies # 1 - 3 |
| Below 31 = significant practicum concerns exist and should be reported to the Practicum Chair |
| 31 – 43 = meeting expectations, on target, progressing as expected |
| Above 43 = exceptional, beyond expectations |
| Case Studies # 4 – 6 |
| Below 35 = significant practicum concerns exist and should be reported to the Practicum Chair |
| 36 – 45 = meeting expectations, on target, progressing as expected |
| Over 45 = exceptional, beyond expectations |

Requirement: Consultant must sign off on student's write-ups and turn them in with this evaluation Recommendation: Consultant and student each fill an evaluation out to be discussed and stapled together when turned in to the Registrar.